INTRODUCTION

Globally, chronic constipation affects 14% of all adult patients and 30% of those who are over 60 years old. (1, 2) It occurs most frequently in women and institutionalized people, (1, 2) is associated with low socioeconomic status and psychiatric illnesses, (1, 3) and markedly alters quality of life. (4) In addition, it is expensive for health care systems because of its high prevalence. (3, 4) In the United States, it is responsible for 8 million annual outpatient visits at a cost exceeding 230 million dollars. (5) In addition, 1.2 million patients are referred to gastroenterology for this pathology. (4, 5) In that country, the annual direct medical care costs for women with chronic constipation are almost double those of women without constipation. (2, 5). In the USA, 2.5 million people suffer chronic constipation. If they all underwent colonoscopies, the annual cost would reach $5 billion dollars. (5, 6)

There are many popular beliefs and myths about the causes of chronic constipation as well as treatment among patients and many doctors. (7) Among these, the most frequently heard are that inadequate consumption of fiber is one cause, that chronic constipation induces colon cancer (and that CC produces food poisoning which can be treated by ingesting large amounts of water). (7, 8) Other misconceptions were that low fiber consumption causes constipation (79%) and that low levels of physical activity cause constipation (52%). Fifty-five percent do not consider that high fiber diets (55%) or regular exercise (69%) are useful for treatment. The most frequent observation was abundant consumption of fiber without improvement.
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study at the gastroenterology and digestive endoscopy unit of Clínica Fundadores de Bogotá, a third-level institution attached to the graduate program in gastroenterology at the National University of Colombia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted among patients diagnosed with chronic constipation using the Rome IV criteria at the gastroenterology outpatient clinic of the Clínica Fundadores in Bogotá, Colombia between May and October 2016. (9) Patients were included after being instructed about the nature and purposes of the research and voluntarily deciding to participate in the study as indicated by their signatures on informed consent forms. The information was collected with a survey form designed specifically for this research. It included demographic data and 14 dichotomous questions based on concerns and doubts identified by patients of the gastroenterology clinic. These concerns were identified during the 6 months prior to the study. The form also included space for the survey participants to write any additional comments or beliefs about the disease. The survey was conducted by one of the authors (ADM) during his special internship in the gastroenterology unit. The patients belong to socioeconomic strata 2, 3 and 4.

RESULTS

Of the 278 patients included in the study, 75% were female and the average age was 50 years. All patients had received initial treatment with fiber, specifically, wheat bran and psyllium (30 grams), and home remedies. With those measures, 20% of patients had improved. At the time of the survey, the remaining 80% were receiving 17 g/day of polyethylene glycol 3350 (Contumax®, PEG®,) or 5-10 mg/day of sodium picosulfate. These patients reported being satisfied with these last two types of treatment due to the significant improvement of their symptoms. The questions and the frequencies of administration, which are the objectives of this study, are shown in Figure 1.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study show that patients from this Colombian population have many of the beliefs found in similar studies from other countries. (7, 8) The most frequent were that chronic constipation is the cause of the hemorrhoids (98%), causes food poisoning (86%) and also causes CC. With regard to the latter, there is no relationship since CC is mainly associated with family history of CC, smoking, alcohol consumption, obesity, diabetes, consumption of processed meats and inflammatory bowel disease. (10) Similarly, there is no definitive evidence that constipation produces hemorrhoids. (7)

It is noteworthy that more than half (55%) do not believe that fiber forms like fruit have utility in the diet even though most patients (79%) consider the lack of fiber in the diet to be a cause of constipation. This last assessment is probably the result of not having empirical experience in the use of large amounts of fruit. In fact, research has not yet shown clear benefits from fiber. (11) A recent meta-analysis has found marginal benefits from psyllium, but the studies it looked at had multiple methodological biases and were of poor quality. (12) Although some current management guidelines recommend increasing fiber intake during initial treatment, (13-15) the evidence supporting these recommendations is of low quality. (11, 12) In addition, insoluble fiber can induce or exacerbate flatulence, and in some people it can worsen constipation. (12, 16) Soluble fiber such as psyllium or ispaghula has shown marginal benefits, but in the long term these results have not been maintained. (13-15) Despite the evidence published, most physicians continue to believe that fiber and fruit are fundamental for management of chronic constipation.

Fifty-two percent of respondents believe that lack of exercise is an etiological factor of constipation, but, paradoxically, 69% do not believe that performing exercise serves as a treatment. Studies have not shown that regular exercise improves constipation or reduces the need for laxatives. (17, 18) A commonsense everyday inference is that, if exercise increased colon transit and favored bowel movements, then high performance athletes could not compete because they would often have diarrhea. Exercise has proven beneficial effects for the cardiovascular system. (19)

In relation to the benefit of, Eighty-two percent of respondents said that they did not consider consuming a lot of liquids useful for treating constipation. This assessment is true since there is no evidence to show the benefit of taking a lot of fluids, (11, 20) except in cases of hospitalized and dehydrated patients. (11) It has been found that probiotics can increase colonic transit, but they have not been shown to relieve constipation in either adults or children. (21, 22, 23) The majority of respondents (85%) do not consider that yogurt with probiotics is useful for treating this entity.

Contrary to popular beliefs, management of chronic constipation is complex due to the heterogeneity of patients who can be classified into the following subgroups:

- Patients with normal colonic transit
- Patients with slow transit
- Patients with dysfunction of the pelvic floor (anism, pelvic floor dyssynergia)
- Patients with constipation secondary to opioids which is part of narcotic bowel syndrome, an entity with great morbidity and mortality. (3, 9, 24, 25, 26)
Pharmacological strategies with proven efficacy include osmotic laxatives such as polyethylene glycol and lactulose, stimulant laxatives such as sodium picosulfate, secretagogues such as linaclotide and lubiprostone, prucalopride which is a universal prokinetic and 5HT4 agonist. Methylnaltrexone and alvimopan can be used to treat constipation secondary to opioids.

CONCLUSIONS

Most patients mistakenly believe that constipation causes CC and hemorrhoids. The vast majority do not consider consumption of fruit to be a useful treatment for constipation. Patients consider that exercise does not work as a treatment of this disease. According to the results and the scientific evidence, the most frequent assessments given by patients is correct. Even though they attribute low fiber intake and lack of exercise as etiological factors, most did not consider that increasing either of them improves constipation. It is possible that the correct answers of the population studied in regarding to popular beliefs is due to the fact that many of them attend the educational talks that a member of our service (WO) gives about chronic pathologies.
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