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Abstract

Introduction: Hepatitis C affects about 170 million people worldwide. The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated global prevalence at 2%. Overall, about 40% of patients respond to dual therapy treatment for genotype. In Colombia data available for confirm a similar pattern and for describing the clinical characteristics of patients with this infection are scarce.

Methods: Medical records of patients in the Hepatology outpatient service at the Clínica Universitaria Colombia who had been diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C by one of the authors between January 1, 2010 and May 30, 2013 were retrospectively reviewed for clinical characteristics, serological characteristics and treatment responses.

Results: The medical records of 163 patients were evaluated: 62% were female, 38% were male, and their mean age was 58.2 years. The main risk factor for acquiring hepatitis C was a history of transfusions before 1992. This factor was present in 62% of the patients. The decision to start treatment was made for 77 patients (47.2%), but 86 patients (52.8%) did not start treatment. Reasons included advanced age and advanced cirrhosis which together accounted for more than 50% of these patients. Other reasons for not starting treatment were minimal disease (4.7%), minimal sign of disease plus advanced age (10.5%), spontaneous healing (14%), low probability of response (3.3%) and others (14%). Of the 62 patients for whom information about previous or recent treatments was available, 30.6% had sustained virological responses (SVR), 29.0% were classified as relapsers, 8.1% as partial responders, 19.4% had no response, and 12.9% discontinued treatment because of intolerance.

Conclusions: The most frequent antecedent of HCV in the group of patients studied a history of transfusions associated with gynecological surgery before 1992. About half of the patients were diagnosed late. Hepatitis was more likely to have been treated in these patients than in patients in other studies, but the SVR rate was similar to those found in other series. This study opens doors to the realization of other studies to more broadly define the prevalence, risk factors and treatment response variables of this entity in our country.
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THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Epidemiology

The hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the hepatitis B virus (HBV) are responsible for most of the chronic hepatitis in the world: a third of the world’s population has been exposed to these viruses (1, 2). The number of HCV carriers is estimated at 130 to 170 million people. HCV and HBV are the leading causes of cirrhosis and liver transplantation in developed countries, and they are responsible for 1.2 million deaths a year due to complications of portal hyper-
tension resulting from cirrhosis including bleeding from esophageal varices, ascites, encephalopathy, and hepatocellular carcinoma (3-6).

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates the prevalence of hepatitis C prevalence at approximately 2% of the world’s population. Most cases are in Asia (92 million) and Africa (28 million). The WHO’s estimate for Europe is about 9 million and its estimate for the Americas is about 12 million (2).

Data from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) in the United States show a fall in the incidence of acute hepatitis C from 230,000 per year in the 1980’s to 9,000 cases per year in recent records. The current incidence in the USA is 0.3 per 100,000 people and the current prevalence is between 1.0% and 1.9% (6-8). Between 1999 and 2002, prevalence in the USA was 1.6% which means that about 4.1 million people had antibodies against the virus (anti-HCV) and 80% of them were viremic (8).

Although Latin American data vary by country, the overall prevalence is between 1% and 2%. In the second quarter of 2011, there were 23 cases of hepatitis C reported in Colombia which is an incidence of 0.5 per 100,000 inhabitants and a prevalence 0.97% (9, 10).

**Hepatitis C**

The hepatitis C virus is an RNA virus that is the only member of the genus Hepacivirus in the Flaviviridae family. The disease infects only humans and chimpanzees. Each virus has a diameter of about 60 nm. They bind to the surfaces of hepatocytes and enter those cells through endocytosis. The viral RNA contains approximately 9,600 nucleotides and encodes a polyprotein precursor of about 3,000 amino acids. Cytosolic recognition of viral products induces the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as interferon leading to recruitment of signaling complexes to activate transcription factors. Subsequent expression of interferon-β and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF-3) induces the innate immune response and maturation of the adaptive immune system to control the infection (11, 12). Six genotypes and more than 100 subtypes have been described, but approximately 60% to 80% of all infections are caused by genotype 1 (subtypes 1a and 1b) and genotype two. Other genotypes are common in areas such as Egypt (genotype 4), South Africa (genotype 5) and South Asia (genotype 6) (10, 13). Genotyping is not only useful for epidemiological studies but for clinical management as well since it can predict the likelihood of response to treatment and the optimal duration of treatment (24, 25). In Colombia, the most common genotype is genotype 1 according to several studies. A study by Arias et al. published in 2010 found genotype 1 in 95% of the 284 patients studied (14-16).

**Risk Factors**

The most important risk factors for acquiring the infection that are described in the international literature are intravenous drug use, blood transfusions in general before 1992, transfusions of blood products for hemophiliacs before 1987, and hemodialysis. Maternal-fetal transmission is very rare and is associated with co-infection with HIV-1 (8). Other factors include low socioeconomic status, a large number of sexual partners (over 20), tattoos, dental procedures, endoscopic procedures, and accidents among health professionals (8-10, 41).

**Natural History of Infection**

For most of its progression to cirrhosis, HCV presents no symptoms or manifestations which occur only after the liver’s condition is really insufficient (17, 18). Acute (HCV) infections represent approximately 15% of all cases. Of these only 25% to 30% of patients are symptomatic. The manifestations are the same as those of any viral hepatitis, except for fulminant hepatitis (10, 19). Chronic hepatitis C develops in up to 85% of the patients who acquire the infection. Five to twenty-five percent of them develop hepatocellular carcinoma after having been carriers for over 20 years. Only 15% to 35% of patients heal spontaneously within six months after the primary infection (3, 6, 7, 41). Progress of the disease to fibrosis or cirrhosis is related to factors such as age at infection (before or after 40 years of age), duration of infection (over 20 years), male gender, alcohol consumption greater than 50g/day, coinfection with other viruses such as Hepatitis B or HIV, the source of infection, the immune competence of the host, virus-specific factors such as genotype, and viral load (20, 21).

**Treatment**

Classic studies such as those by Manns and Fried demonstrated the usefulness of treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C to achieve a sustained viral response (SVR). This is defined as achievement of undetectable levels of viral RNA in the blood in the six months after completion of treatment. Treatment lasts for 48 weeks for genotype 1 and for 24 weeks for genotype 2. The average responses are 40% and 80%, respectively. SVR also depends on the genotype, the viral load, the degree of fibrosis, the characteristics of the population and adherence to treatment (22-26). Until 2011, treatment for chronic infections was a combination of
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pegylated interferon and ribavirin for both genotypes (47). Now, treatment for genotype 1 uses new protease inhibitors such as Boceprevir and Telaprevir in conjunction with traditional therapy. Response-guided therapy has managed to shorten the duration of treatment and increase SVR rates to close to 75% (27-33, 41, 47). Relapsers are patients with negative viral loads at the end of treatment who become positive within the first 6 months of follow-up. They achieve SVRs of up to 80% during their second treatment period and are the group which largest displays the best response among partial responders (Patients with decreases of more than log 2 at twelve weeks, but whose viral load is positive at the 24th week). About 50% of patients achieve SVR while approximately 30% are null responders (less than 2 log drop at week 12) (28, 29, 40, 41). Other promising therapeutic agents include viral protein inhibitors such as HCV core protein NS4B of viral entry, host targets such as cyclophilin A, the miR122 protein and two new drugs, sofosbuvir and simeprevir which have been approved by FDA in the USA for treatment of chronic hepatitis C (34, 35).

Liver Biopsy

A liver biopsy is necessary for people infected with genotype 1 since the degree of fibrosis predicts response, defines the patient’s prognosis, and defines treatment for patients without cirrhosis (36-38). A biopsy may be unnecessary for people infected with HCV genotypes 2 and 3 since over 80% of them achieve SVR (36, 38-44).

Justification

To date, there have been no published studies from Colombia that provide clinical and treatment information about patients with chronic hepatitis C. For this reason, we have developed this estimation of the frequency of established risk factors and clinical characteristics and description of the treatment of a group of these patients.

Objective

This study describes the clinical and serological characteristics as well as treatment responses of 163 adult patients with chronic hepatitis C from the hepatology service at the Clinica Universitaria Colombia and an outpatient department of hepatology in Bogotá during the study period.

Methodology

This is a retrospective review of medical records of patients with chronic hepatitis C who were diagnosed during consultation or who had already been diagnosed within this hospital and who were followed-up in the Hepatology outpatient service of the Clinica Universitaria Colombia. Follow-ups were conducted by the authors during the period from January 1, 2010 to May 30, 2013. Data were tabulated and descriptive statistics were calculated with Excel and SPSS) and expressed as text, tables and graphs.

Design, Patient Population and Definition of Variables

This is a retrospective study (clinical) based on the medical records of adult patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C who were seen in the outpatient clinic of the Clínica Universitaria Colombia. Only patients who had positive viral loads or who had been treated for hepatitis C were included. Other information that was collected included demographic characteristics (gender and age), reason for referral of patients for evaluation by the hepatology clinic, risk factors for infection with hepatitis C, and data from physical examinations and laboratory tests (including genotype and viral subtype when available). Additional aspects of treatment were reviewed and patients were classified according to the characteristics that made them candidates for treatment (or for not treatment), whether they had previously received treatment, their responses to previous treatments, and any management of adverse effects (if available). For patients who had had liver biopsies staging of the disease was also recorded using the Metavir classification (Tables 1 and 2).

Statistical Analysis

Each of the variables studied was descriptively analyzed. Means and standard deviations were calculated for continuous variables, and proportions were calculated for categorical variables. The information was analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequency measurements) and presented in text, tables and/or graphs using Excel and SPSS.

Ethical Considerations

This is a retrospective study which uses data from the medical records of patients. For this reason therefore it is considered to be “safe” according to the classification established by Resolution 8430 of 1993 from the Colombian Ministry of Health. This takes into account that no changes were made in data about any intervention or biological, physiological, psychological or social variables of individual participants. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles stated in the Eighteenth World Medical Assembly Declaration (Helsinki, 1964). Identification data and diagnoses of patients were not recorded in publications, and the researchers handled all data from medical records with complete confidentiality.
RESULTS

Patients’ General Characteristics

Of the 163 patients included in the study 101 patients (62%) were women and 62 (38%) were men. The average patient age was 58.2 years (21 to 94 years) (Figure 1). Seventy-five (46%) were new patients and 88 (54%) had already been diagnosed with Hepatitis C and were being treated or monitored. The main reasons for referrals of new patients to the hepatology clinic were elevated transaminases (35 patients, 46.7%), cirrhosis (19 patients, 25.3%), blood donors who tested positive for antibodies to hepatitis C (9 patients, 12%), and other signs of portal hypertension (12 patients, 16%). The most common risk factor for acquiring hepatitis C in our series was a history of blood transfusions before 1992 which was demonstrated in 101 patients (62%). The reasons for these transfusions were gynecological surgery (45 patients, 44.6%), trauma related surgery (12 patients, 11.9%), orthopedic surgery (9 patients, 8.9%), gastrointestinal ulcer surgery (11 patients, 10.9%), and other types of surgery including cardiovascular surgery and tonsillectomies (24 patients, 23.8%). Other risk factors found were hemophilia (3.7%), tattoos (1.8%), acupuncture (1.2%) and drug addiction (1.8%).

Table 1. Distribution of patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C according to demographic variables, reasons for referral to hepatology and risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masculine</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminine</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First evaluation of liver disease</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C follow-up</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for first referral to hepatology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated levels of transaminases</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Donor who test positive for Hepatitis C</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other signs of portal hypertension</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemophilia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tattoos</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acupuncture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Addiction</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No clear risk factor</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Base Paraclinical Data for Patients with Chronic Hepatitis C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total WBC cells/ml</td>
<td>5610,53</td>
<td>5400,00</td>
<td>12900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin g/dl</td>
<td>14,45</td>
<td>15,00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit %</td>
<td>43,90</td>
<td>45,00</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count cells/ml</td>
<td>207225,17</td>
<td>208000,00</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td>444000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose mg/dl</td>
<td>97,04</td>
<td>93,00</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea nitrogen mg/dl</td>
<td>17,41</td>
<td>15,00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine mg/dl</td>
<td>.856</td>
<td>.800</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>4,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol mg/dl</td>
<td>176,88</td>
<td>174,00</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH mIU/ml</td>
<td>3,114</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>16,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST IU/ml</td>
<td>68,32</td>
<td>48,50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT IU/ml</td>
<td>80,48</td>
<td>54,00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT UI</td>
<td>86,97</td>
<td>57,00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase U/ml</td>
<td>117,55</td>
<td>96,00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT patient (seconds)</td>
<td>12,43</td>
<td>12,00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>2,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin mg/dl</td>
<td>1,015</td>
<td>.700</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>9,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Bilirubin mg/dl</td>
<td>.369</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>5,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect bilirubin mg/dl</td>
<td>.624</td>
<td>.500</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>4,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total proteins gr/dl</td>
<td>7,344</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>9,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin gr/dl</td>
<td>4,062</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>5,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral load IU/l</td>
<td>803683,09</td>
<td>247719,00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5812593</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Age distribution of patients with chronic hepatitis C

Physical Examination and Staging

Sixty-one of the patients (37.4%) had clinical signs of chronic liver disease and 102 patients (62.6%) had normal physical examinations. Of the 61 patients with abnormal physical examinations, jaundice was found in 6 patients (3.7%), ascites in 27 patients (16.6%), increased liver consistency suggestive of cirrhosis in 56 patients (34.4%), palpable spleen in 26 patients (16%) and telangiectasias in 27 patients (16.6%). The diagnosis of cirrhosis was established in 52 patients (31.9%, n = 163). Thirty-five of them were classified on the CHILD scale: 21 patients were clas-
had not received any treatment; 43 patients (51.2%) had had one prior treatment, 13 patients (15.5%) had had 2 treatments, 4 patients (4.8%) had had three treatments, and one patient (1.2%) had had four or more treatments. Sixty of the patients who had received treatment in the past or recently had treatment response data. Of these, 19 patients (30.6%) achieved sustained viral response (SVR), 18 patients (29.0%) relapsed after treatment, 5 patients (8.1%) were partial responders, 12 patients (19.4%) had had no response and 8 patients (12.9%) had discontinued treatment because of intolerance. A second analysis of all 77 patients who were treated during the study either because they were naive patients, relapsers, or partial responders is shown in Figure 4. Fourteen of these patients received triple therapy including boceprevir and/or telaprevir. Of the 163 patients in the study, 86 patients (52.8%) were not considered to be suitable for HCV treatment for the following reasons: minimal disease in four patients (4.7%), minimal disease combined with advanced age in nine patients (10.5%), advanced age in 15 patients (17.4%), advanced cirrhosis in 29 patients (33.7%), 12 patients (14%) whose disease was cured spontaneously, three patients (3.3%) who were unlikely to respond, and 14 other patients (16.3%). Treatment was considered to be appropriate for 77 patients. Of these, thirty-one patients (40.3%) were undergoing evaluation, seven patients (9.1%) were waiting to start treatment, eleven patients (14.3%) were undergoing treatment, nineteen (24.7%) had finished treatment and were cured, and nine (11.7%) had been treated but were not cured (Figures 4 and 5).

**Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes**

The viral genotypes of hepatitis C were analyzed for 56 patients: forty-four patients had genotype 1B (78.5%) and eight patients had genotype 1A (14.2%). Genotypes 2B, 1A-1B, IV and 1 (without subtyping) accounted for 1.7% of the patients each. The average viral load was 803,683 IU/ml with a median of 247,719 IU/ml.

**Treatment**

The first analysis of 84 patients who needed treatment or had already been treated at least once divided the patients according to the number of treatments they had received as follows: 23 patients were “naive” (27.3%) patients who...
series suffered from drug addiction (41). For 40 patients (24.5%), the form of acquisition could not be determined. This percentage is around 40% in studies like that of McCarthy from other countries (46). We believe that these data are due to higher rates of post-transfusion transmission in our country. Five of the patients (3.1%) were health care workers which is worrisome and raises the issue of strengthening nosocomial biosecurity measures (8-10). As in the previous study of our environment (14-16), our study found that genotype 1 is the predominant genotype of hepatitis C virus here: it was found in 96.4% of the patients who had an average viral load of 803,683 IU/ml. The viral load is important since it is known that fibrosis increases with higher viral loads (values greater than 800,000 IU/ml) as demonstrated in the study of Hadziyanis (36). Also, genotype 1 implies greater difficulty in treatment with lower resulting rates of SVR (15, 47, 49).

Liver biopsy data were obtained for 71 patients the majority of whom were among the group of patients considered for treatment according to the recommendations of international standards (38, 42, 43, 44, 49).

Treatment was considered appropriate for 47% of the patients in our series and was begun for 46 patients (28.2%). SVR was achieved in 19 patients (67% of those treated, 24% of those considered for treatment, 11% of all patients). The classic studies of treated patients by Mans, Fried and McHutchinson show SVR rates of 42%, 46% and 40% respectively (22, 23, 53). In a study by Butt of 134,934 veterans infected with HCV, only 11% of the patients began treatment, and of these only 22% completed 48 weeks (50). Although the data found in our study are not comparable with these international studies, they give us an initial indication of how HCV patients here respond to treatment and provide us with an incentive to expand the number of patients in the series.

In the group of patients (53%) for whom no treatment was considered, it is noteworthy that the fifteen elderly patients (17.4%) together with the 29 patients with advanced cirrhosis (33.7%) accounted for more than 50% of the total group. These patients would have benefited from earlier detection of their HCV infections when it might have been possible to treat them. In our series, the group of patients who were cured spontaneously accounted for 14% of the patients whereas the international literature reports rates of spontaneous cures of 25% to 35% (53-56). The only association that can be established is the presence of genotype one in over 90% of our patients.

DISCUSSION

The highest percentage of patients (62%) were women, and the average age of all patients was 58.2 years. The reason for this is that the most frequently identified risk factor for acquisition of hepatitis C in our study was a history of transfusion before 1992 (101 patients, 62%). Most of these patients were women who had received transfusions due to pregnancy-related surgery 20 or 30 years ago. The bimodal distribution associated with intravenous drug use among people aged 30-49 and described in other series years was not seen in our study as only 2% of the patients in our

![Figure 5. Types of responses to treatment](image)

**Clinical Staging of Hepatitis C**

The clinical, laboratory, pathology and treatment response information studies of 162 patients were analyzed to establish the clinical stage of disease. The analysis resulted in the following distribution: 41 patients (25.3%) had cirrhosis, 11 patients (6.8%) had hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis, 80 patients (49.4%) had chronic hepatitis C, and 30 patients (18.5%) were cured either spontaneously or as the result of treatment. Concomitant fatty liver disease diagnosed by biopsy and ultrasound occurred in 54 patients (34.8%), 39 patients (72.2%) had NAFLD (Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease) and 15 patients (27.8%) had NASH (Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis) (Figure 6).

![Figure 6. Clinical stages of hepatitis C](image)
nosis and HCC). These patients may, at some point in the natural history of the disease, become candidates for liver transplantation. We know that today in the USA hepatitis C is the main indication for transplantation. In our country this could become a problem of gigantic proportions considering that the likely prevalence of the disease in our midst is around 1%, then we face approximately 500,000 cases of hepatitis C which have not yet been diagnosed (10).

This study describes clinical and serological characteristics and responses to treatment of patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C. They were primarily treated with dual therapy, but some patients were with incomplete data were also treated with triple therapy. The need to extend this therapy, but some patients were with incomplete data were also treated with triple therapy. The need to extend this series in order to consolidate data about risk factors and treatment responses in our country is clear. Consequently, we issue an invitation for proposals for population studies of prevalence so that we can understand the true importance of HCV in Colombia.

REFERENCES

17. Leonard B. Seeff, Natural History of Chronic Hepatitis C. Hepatology 2002; 36(5).


36. Chao D1, Botwin GJ, Morgan TR. Update on Recently Approved Treatments for Hepatitis C. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol 2014. [Epub ahead of print].


