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Abstract
Dyspepsia (DP) is pain or discomfort in the upper abdomen. It may be uninvestigated dyspepsia or functional dyspepsia (FD) which is diagnosed after diagnostic tests such as upper endoscopy and occasionally upper abdominal ultrasound find no organic changes that explain the condition. Despite the wide dissemination of the classifications of dyspepsia, functional dyspepsia and postprandial dyspepsia, recent findings controvert these classifications. This article discusses the approach to patients with dyspepsia and the pathophysiology and drug treatment of functional dyspepsia.
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The term dyspepsia comes from the Greek words dys (poor) and pepsis (digestion). Dyspepsia (DP) refers to chronic or recurrent pain or discomfort located in the central part of the upper abdomen (epigastrium). It is often replaced incorrectly by the terms “chronic gastritis” or “acid-peptic disease.”

When a patient presents DP and its cause has not yet been investigated, it is referred to as uninvestigated dyspepsia (UDP). When it has been subjected to tests, specifically upper endoscopy and occasionally upper abdominal ultrasound, it can be classified as functional or idiopathic dyspepsia (FD) when there are no disorders to explain the symptoms or as secondary or organic dyspepsia when the cause is due to an organic gastrointestinal disease such as a peptic ulcer or tumors (either systemic or metabolic) (See Table 1) (1). After causes have been studied, FD explains more than 70% of previously uninvestigated cases if dyspepsia (2). In the past this type of dyspepsia was called non-ulcer dyspepsia, but this is an incorrect name because there are other entities besides peptic ulcers that can produce this clinical picture.

Since the pattern of symptoms by itself does not allow the physician to distinguish between an organic and functional disease, further investigation is needed to exclude an organic disease.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Approximately 15% to 40% of the population have chronic or recurrent symptoms of dyspepsia which have gone uninvestigated. About a third of them will have secondary or organic dyspepsia (4). In some countries it has been found that the annual incidence is about 1%, and it is estimated that one in two people will consult with a physician at some point in their life about dyspeptic symptoms. Dyspepsia accounts for 5% of general practice consultation and about 20% to 30% of gastroenterology consultations (2, 5, 6). In addition to its high prevalence, it is important because it
significantly decreases the quality of life of individuals who have the disease (2, 5, 6).

Table 1. Causes of dyspepsia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic or functional dyspepsia (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptic ulcer (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroesophageal reflux disease (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric cancer (&lt;1% in the United States, 9% in Colombia (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicobacter pylori infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pancreatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the gallbladder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celiac Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal parasites (Giardia lamblia, Strongyloides)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malabsorption of Carbohydrate (lactose, sorbitol, fructose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics, Iron and other medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, thyroid and parathyroid disease, collagenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal ischemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer and other abdominal tumors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagnostic approach to DP depends on the relation between cost-effectiveness and whether there is concern or suspicion that there is a serious entity (4). Indications for immediate endoscopy are the presence of alarming symptoms and signs (Table 2) and patient age over 50-55, which is the onset age for gastric cancer (GC) in the developed countries (5-7). In developed countries, the approach is different from that in developing countries. The differences are primarily based on the prevalence and incidence of GC, which is about 4 to 5 times more common in countries such as Colombia, Venezuela, and Costa Rica than in countries like the United States (3). The American Gastroenterological Association and the American College of Gastroenterology recommend the algorithm in Figure 1.

Table 2. Signs and warning signs (Red flags)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early fullness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained weight loss (more than 10% of normal weight)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive dysphagia (choking) or odynophagia (painful swallowing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal cancer in first-degree relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpable abdominal mass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Colombia, a prospective study of UDP patients who underwent upper endoscopies found that 9% of the patients had GC and that this disease was the second leading cause of organic dyspepsia (3). Characteristically, gastric cancer becomes more common after people reach 30 years of age, so our recommendation is that upper endoscopies should be performed on all patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia over the age of 30 years and on those patients under that age who have alarming symptoms. Although one possible explanation for the high prevalence of GC in this study is the fact that many of the patients were evaluated at a referral hospital, we believe that this recommendation is justified by the high prevalence of GC throughout Colombia and also by the low cost of upper endoscopy (USD 40.00 to USD 100.00). According to recent statistics, the prevalence of GC here of 17.4 to 48.2 cases per 100,000 people is among the highest in the world with (8).

Figure 1. Approach to uninvestigated dyspepsia

When gastrointestinal endoscopy and hepatobiliary ultrasound (when indicated) reveal no organic pathologies, the diagnosis is FD. Diagnostic criteria for FD are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Since the Rome III consensus, FD has been divided into two categories: FD with pain, and FD with postprandial distress.

A recent study by Vakil et al. has called into question the widespread acceptance of these criteria, particularly the subdivision of FD into two categories (pain and postpran-
dial distress). Although these criteria have been confirmed in studies in various parts of the world (9), Vakil et al. found that 66% of FD patients straddle the two subtypes and that only 10% can be placed solely into the FD with postprandial distress category while only 24% of patients can be placed solely into the FD with epigastric pain category. These authors believe that the subdivision into these two categories is questionable and suggest that a new classification of FD is needed (10). In addition, they note that GERD patients often present symptoms that could classify them as FD patients (10).

**Table 3. Diagnostic Criteria for FD with Pain**

A diagnosis of FD must include all of the following:
- Pain or burning in the epigastrium of at least moderate intensity at least once a week
- Pain should not be generalized to other abdominal or chest regions or be located in any other abdominal region.
- Pain is not relieved by defecation or flatus expulsion.
- The pain does not meet the criteria of gallbladder or sphincter of Oddi pain.

The criteria must be met for at least three months beginning at least six months before diagnosis.

**Support Criteria**
- The pain may be burning but without a retrosternal component.
- The pain is commonly induced or relieved by ingestion of a meal, but can occur without food.
- Postprandial symptoms can coexist.

**Table 4. Functional Dyspepsia with Postprandial Distress**

One or both of the following items must be included:
- An annoying sensation of postprandial fullness occurring after a usual meal (in quantity) at least several times a week
- Early satiety that prevents completion of a meal of regular or usual quantity at least several times a week

Criteria must be met for at least three months beginning at least six months before diagnosis.

**Supporting criteria**
- Sensation of abdominal bloating or postprandial nausea
- Functional Dyspepsia with Postprandial Distress can coexist with epigastric pain.

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY**

The mechanisms which cause FD are not completely understood. Why do symptoms occur when there is no organic disease? Recent clinical studies have found the following abnormalities (11-17):
1. Altered gastric accommodation
2. Delayed gastric emptying
3. Gastric hypersensitivity
4. Duodenal hypersensitivity to acids and lipids
5. Low-grade inflammation
6. Stress, psychosocial factors, trauma, genetic predispositions, prior viral infections, etc.
7. Helicobacter pylori infections
8. Precipitation due to infectious gastroenteritis

A recent meta-analysis found that the risk of FD significantly increases after infectious gastroenteritis (OR: 1.97 CI 95% 1.51-2.56) (17). These findings have led to the supposition that inflammation and immune disorders may be important. Genetic epidemiological studies have also identified polymorphisms of the GNB3 which influences the activation of immune cells (12). A model of the involvement of different factors from healthy individuals to patients with severe FD is shown in Figure 2 (13).

**EVALUATION OF PATIENTS WITH DYSPESIA AND TREATMENT OF FD**

An evaluation of an FD patient should include a careful medical history emphasizing “alarm” symptoms. These symptoms may suggest the presence of tumors or complicated organic diseases. Contrary to the consensus of Rome III which says that heartburn and/or regurgitation exclusively indicate gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), there is now new evidence that these symptoms often occur in FD even after GERD has been confirmed by currently available tests (10). If, in the absence of alarm symptoms, dyspeptic symptoms include upper abdominal pain relieved by defecation or if it is associated with a change in stool frequency or form, these symptoms are more likely to be derived from irritable bowel syndrome (1). If the predominant symptom is upper abdominal pain that worsens with food intake, it may indicate chronic pancreatitis or gallbladder disease. If there is steatorrhea, the probability of chronic pancreatitis with malabsorption is reinforced. If upper gastric pain is relieved by food, antacids, etc., the symptom is suggestive of a peptic ulcer. The warning symptoms in Table 1 suggest the presence of organic or secondary dyspepsia.

These four steps should be followed for DP patients:
1. Immediate endoscopy when there are signs/symptoms of alarm, when the patient is over 50 years old, and when the epidemiological characteristics of gastric cancer are present, no matter what the patient’s age.
2. Empirical antisecretory therapy
3. H. pylori tests and treatment if positive
4. H. pylori tests and upper endoscopy if positive (4).

In scenarios 3 and 4, if there is no improvement following treatment, another upper endoscopy is indicated.
TREATMENT OF FUNCTIONAL DYSPEPSIA

Based on the pathophysiological mechanisms involved (6, 7, 18, 19), current recommendations for management of this entity are:

1. **Tricyclic antidepressants** to block visceral hypersensitivity have an NNT of 2 (95% CI 1.5-5) or 5 (95% CI 3-250) (20).

2. **Acid secretion inhibitors** such as anti H2 and proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are designed to control hyper-sensitivity. The NNT for anti H2 is 8 (95% CI 5-24) and for PPI is 9 (95% CI 6-19). In tests of PPIs, 34% of patients treated with PPIs respond while 25% of patients treated placebos respond (21). PPIs may be considered the drugs of choice for patients who, in addition to DP, have GERD symptoms or those who present burning-type epigastrium pain. The recommendation is to administer the drugs for at least four weeks (19).

3. **H. pylori eradication** does not produce improvement in most patients. The NNT is 14 (95% CI 10-29), but this small benefit is statistically significant, and long-term studies have shown that it is cost-effective (18, 19). The therapeutic gain over placebos ranges from 6% to 14% (22). The eradication of H. pylori also has other benefits as long as no advanced histological alterations such as atrophy or intestinal metaplasia occur when eradication takes place. These other benefits include elimination of gastritis, reduction or elimination of GC risk, and reduction of the risk of peptic ulcers (23, 24).

4. **Prokinetics** aim to correct alterations of gastric motility. Molecules of this group that stimulate smooth muscle activity are the choice for gastroparesis treatment. Overall, the use of prokinetic agents has an NNT of 5 (95% CI 3-11). Within this category, cisapride has been recalled because of adverse cardiovascular effects, and metoclopramide is not a good alternative because it causes serious neurological side effects. They are being replaced by domperidone, a D2 receptor antagonist which does not cross the blood brain barrier (19). Mosapride, a SHT4 receptor agonist and SHT3 antagonist, was no better than a placebo in a large European study (25). Itopride is a new drug that combines the anti D2 effect with cholinesterase inhibition. It increases levels of acetylcholine which produces improvements in gastric emptying (19). A recent meta-analysis found that it produces benefits in terms of overall feelings of well-being, postprandial fullness, and early fullness (26). Levosulpiride, the L isomer of sulphiride, has a dual mechanism to correct motility. As an antagonist of D2 dopamine receptors in upper gastrointestinal smooth muscles it decreases the activity of dopamine, an inhibitory substance. This allows acetylcholine's action to predominate resulting in increased gastric contractions (27).

From the results above for the efficacies of drugs currently recommended for FD, it would appear that the least effective treatment is H. pylori eradication (NNT 14, 95% CI 10 to 20) (16). Nevertheless, the quality of studies assessing different prokinetic treatments is poor, very poor for those that assess antidepressants, moderate for those that assess anti H2, and very good for those that assess H. pylori (18). The appearance that H. pylori eradication is less effective is related directly to the excellent quality of the studies of its efficacy. The rigor and quality of controlled clinical trials
has an important impact on the quality of the results. Poor quality studies may overestimate the magnitude of an effect. When they score two points or less on the Jadad scale, they produce favorable effects 35% more often than do clinical trials with three or more points (30). Although H. pylori eradication improves symptoms in only 5% to 10% of cases (1 in 14) (16), other studies have shown that eliminating the infection is cost-effective in the long term and that long-term eradication has additional benefits including reducing or preventing the risk of gastric cancer (16, 23, 31), and preventing peptic ulcers (and thus bleeding peptic ulcers) (32, 33). Therefore, the saying of many experts that, “the only good Helicobacter is a dead Helicobacter,” is justified. Patients who recover from dyspepsia as the result of H. pylori eradication did not have functional dyspepsia, but rather “H. pylori dyspepsia” which is an organic dyspepsia. Theoretical mechanisms involved in the generation of DP are shown in Figure 3 (16). What are often ignored are the factors that are responsible for FD among all infected people plus how many infected people present this clinical condition even though 100% of them present chronic gastritis (24). Eradication of H. pylori infections should be verified by non-invasive methods such as the urea breath test or stool antigen test four weeks after completion of treatment with antibiotics (23).

**Figure 3.** Approach to uninvestigated dyspepsia. *PPIs: proton pump inhibitors*

Since no treatment is effective for all patients, various strategies for treatment may be used sequentially or in combination. For example, the reflux symptoms of patients with symptoms of GERD and dyspepsia improve with administration of PPIs, but symptoms of dyspepsia persist. In these cases, a prokinetic or tricyclic antidepressant should be administered (34).

**OTHER TREATMENTS**

There is no evidence about the utility of recommending special diets to prevent FD (35). Psychological therapies and hypnotherapy need skilled specialists dedicated to this type of approach, and we apparently lack them (35). Acotiamide is a new drug that increases the release of acetylcholine, inhibits cholinesterase and may further increase gastric accommodation (36). It seems that its effectiveness is limited to postprandial-distress FD and not to pain related FD (37).
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