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Abstract
The discovery of Helicobacter 30 years ago by Marshall and Warren completely changed thought about peptic and duodenal ulcers. The previous paradigm posited the impossibility of the survival of microorganisms in the stomach’s low pH environment and that, if any microorganisms survived, they would stay in the duodenum or elsewhere in the intestine. Today the role of H. pylori in carcinogenesis is indisputable, but little is known about other emerging species of the genus Helicobacter in humans. Helicobacter hepaticus is one of these species that has been studied most, after H. pylori. We now know about their microbiological, genetic and pathogenic relationships with HCC in murine and human infections. This review aims to show the medical and scientific community the existence of new species of Helicobacter that have pathogenic potential in humans, thus encouraging research.
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INTRODUCTION
Culturing Helicobacter pylori and recognizing their clinical relevance has helped renew interest in bacteria from this genus associated with the gastrointestinal and hepatobiliary tracts in humans and other animals. Many of these bacteria have been now identified as new Helicobacter species, and this genus now consists of 32 validated species. (1) H. pylori was described more than a century ago, and it is now known that this bacterium has infected the human stomach for millennia and probably for millions of years. (2) Nevertheless, it was not taken into account in medicine until 1983 when Warren and Marshall rediscovered it. (3) The population genetics of H. pylori mimics that of humans and seems to reflect ancient human migrations. Therefore, humans probably acquired H. pylori early in their history. (4) Apart from humans, the only natural hosts of H. pylori appear to be primates, but in laboratory experiments this bacterium can infect animals including mice, dogs and gerbils which could lead to a proposal to use H. pylori as an evolutionary marker. (4)

H. pylori have been strongly associated with gastritis, peptic ulcer, gastric cancer, and gastric lymphoma. (2) It is even considered a carcinogen by the International Agency for Cancer. (5) Nevertheless, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), an entity among the extra-gastrointestinal diseases that have been studied over the last decade, has the most abundant evidence in the literature. It has even been shown that eradication of this bacterium increases platelet counts. (6)

In both children and adults H. pylori have also been weakly associated with other entities outside the gastrointestinal tract in places such as the liver and bile ducts. These include cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, primary sclerosing cholangitis and primary biliary cirrhosis. (7) Other diseases involved are iron deficiency anemia, chronic dia-
rhea, atherosclerosis, and impaired growth and development. All of these associations are controversial because they are only supported by case reports, small pilot studies or in vitro data. (8, 9, 10)

H. pylorus is not the only species of human Helicobacter. Helicobacter species can be divided into two groups: gastric and enterohepatic. Helicobacter hepaticus, another species of Helicobacter that has been widely studied, is found within the enterohepatic group. This relatively new bacterium has been implicated in hepatitis, hepatocellular carcinoma and biliary tumors in rodent models and recently, in human samples. This has generated controversy in the scientific community which has asked if H. hepaticus is a human pathogen, and if it is involved in hepatocellular carcinoma or in other diseases since there is clear evidence in mouse models. (11)

CONTROVERSY

Proof that this bacterium causes disease in humans, and may even be implicated in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), is not clear within the logic of science. Many researchers cannot accept the possibility of this hypothesis. (12) Arguing causality will be more difficult in this case than it was when Warren and Marshall demonstrated the importance of H. pylori for humanity because systematic study of these other bacteria will require proper insulation and methods of identification in both healthy and sick patients. (13) The controversy that has arisen over this issue has led to reviews and even a meta-analysis in 2008 about the relationship between HCC and Helicobacter species. The meta-analysis found a significant positive association between the bacteria and the risk of HCC. (14) Nevertheless, results should be interpreted with caution because there have been few studies. A conclusion that other reviews have arrived at is that better designed studies and prospective studies are needed to validate the hypothesis. (14, 15, 12)

During the last decade, studies have focused on the relationship between H. pylori and chronic Helicobacter spp. infections associated with several extra-gastric manifestations. These include ischemic heart disease, liver diseases and hematological disorders. Since eradication of gastrite H. pylori is easy and relatively inexpensive, this would important for public health. (16, 17, 18)

In humans, the presence of bacterial DNA in the liver of HCC patients is also indicative of the likely presence of Helicobacter spp. in this organ. However, the difficulty of culturing the microorganism places the reality of these infections in doubt (Koch principle). (12) This can be explained by low bacterial levels and bacterial adaptation to a special environment as reported in the livers of mice. (17) Proper isolation and identification methods for these bacteria are required to find out whether this genus will influence the management of intestinal and systemic diseases as dramatically as H. pylori influenced the treatment of gastroduodenal diseases. (13)

Evidence has shown time and time again that Helicobacter, in addition to its correlation with viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma, seems to have an association with HCC. This strengthens the conclusion of the meta-analysis and reviews that there are not enough prospective and good-quality studies to validate the hypothesis. (17, 12)

FROM GASTRIC CANCER TO LIVER CANCER

Gastric cancer and liver cancer are diseases with different etiological agents and mechanisms of carcinogenesis, but what can the Helicobacter genus find to grow on in these media? And, how can it harm two very different types of cells?

Gastric cancer’s origin is multifactorial within which H. pylori play an important role, although the bacterium’s exact participation in carcinogenesis is still difficult to determine. Nevertheless, factors that indirectly and directly associate H. pylori with gastric cancer are known. The direct associations include the fact that when there is an H. pylori infection, the risk of gastric cancer is 2 to 3 times greater than otherwise, but if there are anti-CagA antibodies the risk increases 11 times. When combined with an alteration of the gene encoding the synthesis of interleukin-1B-511, the risk increases 87 times. (5) Indirect associations include the inflammatory response triggered in the infected stomach which produces molecular and morphological changes that can progress to cancer. (5)

Similarly, HCC is the first malignant primary neoplasm of the liver and the fifth leading cause of consultation because of malignant disease in the digestive tract. (19) Its etiology is closely linked to processes that lead to cirrhosis such as chronic hepatitis B and C infections. However, the mechanism by which the tumor develops is not yet well understood. (19) It is also known that when hepatocellular carcinoma develops in patients with no history of hepatitis or cirrhosis, it is a rapidly progressing disease with a high mortality rate. (19)

The history of the relationship between Helicobacter and liver cancer dates back to 1992 when the National Institute of Cancer in the United States found a high rate of liver tumors in mice infected with the bacteria. (20, 21)

This finding led to a series of investigations which, by 2000, had found genetic material from Helicobacter spp. including H. pylori in tissue from human livers and HCC patients. From these findings, several researchers have reported Helicobacter DNA in HCC tissue samples (Table 1). (22-36) Nevertheless, the implications of infection by these bacteria have not been well studied because all stu-
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provide support for a pathogenic role for H. hepaticus in the viral etiology of HCC. The authors say that the results do not exclude a role for H. hepaticus in cases of HCC caused by other carcinogens, such as aflatoxins. (36)

When studying the pathophysiology of two cancer processes as different as gastric cancer and liver cancer, it is valid to ask how the Helicobacter genus can infect so many different environments. When the bacterium infects the gastroduodenal mucosa, it alters the function and anatomy by inducing inflammation which favors the appearance of dysplasia. (38) To effect these changes in the gastroduodenal environment these bacteria have virulence factors such as adherence factor, urease, proteolytic enzymes, cytotoxic proteins, and vacuolating proteins. Finally, they have cellular stress proteins that allow them to survive in adverse situations. (38) With these characteristics of gastric infections in mind, it is necessary to illustrate the environment that the liver represents for bacteria. This habitat does not have such rugged conditions as the stomach does. Since the pH of bile ducts is slightly alkaline or neutral values, virulence factors such as urease are not needed for survival, hence the presence or absence of urease differentiates the gastric species from the enterohepatic species. (39)

There are also aspects of the liver that differ from the stomach that represent a challenge for the bacteria when entering the hepatocyte or a suitable place within the liver to replicate. Infection models that explain the interaction between Helicobacter spp., H. pylori, H. hepaticus and other liver and gastrointestinal tract diseases found no association that would provide support for a pathogenic role for H. hepaticus in the viral etiology of HCC. The authors say that the results do not exclude a role for H. hepaticus in cases of HCC caused by other carcinogens, such as aflatoxins. (36)

Table 1. Studies reporting the detection of Helicobacter spp. in livers of patients with HCC and controls through the use of 16S rDNA PCR, other specific genes and blood tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Characteristics of Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avenaud et al.</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>Primary benign liver tumors, primary sclerosing cholangitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilsson et al.</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>12/16</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>Hepatic metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coppola et al.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>0/21</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>Hepatic metastases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>0/16</td>
<td>Cholelithiasis, cholecystitis, Benign liver tumors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito et al.</td>
<td>Japón</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>13/15</td>
<td>0/17</td>
<td>Normal liver samples taken from cadaver, cirrhosis without HCC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocha et al.</td>
<td>Francia</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>19/31</td>
<td>19/78</td>
<td>Benign liver tumors, hepatic metastasis, chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis without HCC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>Lesion external to the liver, giant hemangiomata, hepatic cyst.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami et al.</td>
<td>Japón</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>34/69</td>
<td>8/30</td>
<td>ELISA test and correlation with chronic liver diseases and other gastrointestinal tract illnesses. Control group of healthy patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krüttgen et al.</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1/14</td>
<td>1/11</td>
<td>PCR evaluation of fecal samples for H. hepaticus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Model of bacterial liver infections. Helicobacter species and liver diseases: association or causation? According to Pellicano R, Ménard A, Rizzetto M, Mégraud F. Lancet Infect Dis 2008; 8(4):254-60. (17)

H. HEPATICUS IN OTHER MOUSE AND HUMAN DISEASES

Although the habitat of H. hepaticus is in the liver and biliary tract, it has also been demonstrated to be present in liver tissue have been suggested. There are two routes, ascending through bile duct or entering through the portal vein (Illustrated in Figure 1). (17) The first route is more expeditious. An in vitro investigation of the adhesion of H. pylori to hepatocytes has been used as a model for Helicobacter spp. (7) This bacterium is able to adhere to and then invade hepatocytes in vitro although this depends on virulence factors and their persistence in cell cultures. In turn, integrin β1 is related as a receptor for internalizing bacteria into hepatocytes. It has been found that H. pylori affect cell replication mainly by inducing apoptosis with a compensatory increase of DNA synthesis to balance the increase of lost cells. Persistent liver infections can increase both apoptosis and DNA synthesis, suggesting that persistence of Helicobacter plays an important role in the pathogenesis of liver diseases and changes the critical balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis. This seems to involve the pathogenesis of a variety of human diseases including cancer. (40)

Although persistence has been determined by molecular methods of Helicobacter spp. (including H. hepaticus and H. pylori) in hepatocytes, it has not been possible to culture cells directly in human livers. Nevertheless, this has been done in mice (41), but this bacterium grew in only 11.5% of cases, while 66.6% of mouse model cases tested positive by PCR. (41)

Two markers which have been studied are H19 and intestinal trefoil factor 3. The expressions of these markers increases in cells infected with progressive hepatocellular dysplasia: (42) Toxins such as H. hepaticus which produces cytolethal distending toxin (CDT) with DNase activity and possibly promotes tumor development have been included as direct mechanisms that cause hepatocellular damage in vivo. (17, 43) Other virulence factors include VacA and Type 4 bacterial secretion systems. In general Helicobacter spp. is a strong inducer of pro-inflammatory cytokines that contribute to development of malignant diseases through damaging DNA. (43)
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HISTORY OF HELICOBACTER HEPATICUS

The helicobacter hepaticus bacterium was discovered in 1994 by Fox et al. who isolated it from mice livers with chronic active hepatitis. The bacterium also colonizes the colonic mucosa in mice. (41) Based on an analysis of the sequence of 16S rRNA genes, this organism was classified as a novel Helicobacter, named hepaticus. It was recognized as an efficient colonizer of the gastrointestinal tract which, in mice, is potentially pathogenic in persistent hepatitis and liver cancer. This bacterium is the prototype of enterohepatic Helicobacter species which has recently been found to infect humans and cause disease. (41, 47, 48)

Morphological characteristics of the microorganism

Helicobacter hepaticus is a spiral-shaped bacterium with bipolar flagellum. Examination by dark field and phase microscopy reveals motile spiral gram negative bacteria, measuring from 1.5 to 5.0 μm in length and from 0.2 to 0.3 μm in width. The body varies in shape and size from curved to spiral with one or more coils. (41)

Physiologic and biochemical characteristics of the bacteria

Like other Helicobacter species such as H. muridarum and H. rappini, H. hepaticus has strong urease activity. Although it does not live in an acid environment, studies have shown that H. hepaticus produces a urease similar to that produced by H. pylori. (50)

In addition to being urease positive, H. hepaticus is also oxidase and catalase positive. H. hepaticus strains consistently produce H2S using lead acetate, and they reduce nitrates to nitrites. These are microaerophilic bacteria which grow at 37 °C. All strains are resistant to cephalothin and nalidixic acid but sensitive to metronidazole. (41)

Bacterial genetics

In 2003 the complete sequence of the H. hepaticus ATCC51449 bacterium was reported. (50) It has a circular chromosome of 1,799,146 bp encoding 1,875 proteins. A total of 938 are orthologous with H. pylori with which H. hepaticus ATCC51449 shares some virulence factors. At the least, these include adhesins, cytotoxins VacA and all the Cag pathogenicity islands. It also shows similarity with Campylobacter jejuni and exhibits similar pathogenic mechanisms (See Figure 2). (50)

It has become clear that H. hepaticus is an organism with pathogenic potential, although the absence of many of the virulence and colonization factors of H. pylori explains its inability to colonize the stomach. Its extensive physiological similarity with C. jejuni is correlated to enteric colonization. The reasons for this tropism for the hepatobiliary tract and in particular the reasons for its carcinogenic potential are not clearly understood, but the availability of genome sequencing provides the opportunity for a systematic exploration of tissue tropism mechanisms and carcinogenesis induced by H. hepaticus.

H. hepaticus has many factors in common with H. pylori. Persistent infection of a host leads progressively to chronic inflammation in either case, and in both cases this inflammation can progress to hepatocellular carcinoma. H. hepaticus does not colonize the stomach, but shares a habitat in the small intestine with C. jejuni, a bacterium that frequently causes diarrhea in humans. (21)

IMMUNOLOGY

It is known that H. pylori infections stimulate both innate and acquired immune responses. The initial step in this process is recognition of the microorganism by NOD1 (Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain protein 1). Meanwhile, H. pylori also stimulates the innate immune response against H. pylori which includes the release of antibacterial peptides and the infiltration of the mucosa by all types of immune effector cells. (51, 5) In addition to this primary response, an acquired local systemic cellular and humoral immune response is triggered which persists throughout life. The T cell response is essentially Th1 which is the “wrong” response since H. pylori is an extracellular germ. Like other similar microorganisms, H. pylori should trigger a Th2 response. The Th1 response produces gamma (IFN γ) interferon, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), IL-12, and IL-18. (51) the Th2 response produ-
Figure 2. Comparative analysis of Helicobacter pylori with the genome of Helicobacter hepaticus and phylogeny of genomes published by GenBank. (Above) Alignment of H. pylori and H. hepaticus genomes assembled with Mauve software. (53). (Below) Phylogenetic tree between genomes close to H. hepaticus developed with MegaBlast software. (54)

Some experimental mouse models have shown that H. hepaticus activates Th17 cells which release cytokines associated with inflammation such as IL-17 and releases the nuclear factor kappa B associated with carcinogenesis. Nevertheless more studies are needed to determine
whether this response is homologous with the immune response generated by H. pylori. (51, 36) Interestingly, it has been proposed that this type of bacteria’s relationship with the immune system could occur through innate immunity which would provide a possible explanatory model of bacterial intervention in autoimmune diseases. (52)

CONCLUSION

It is still difficult to assert or discard a relationship of H. hepaticus with hepatocellular carcinoma. It is even risky since several paradigms have shown how compromising it can be to make such an assertion without experimental evidence. H. pylori has been the most obvious example of this. In the 1980’s, Prusiner had already spoken about the existence of prions, HIV had struck a blow against scientific advances in health, disease prevention and vaccination since mankind had overcome smallpox.

For this reason reports from Rocha et al., Dore et al. and Murakami et al. suggested an association of Helicobacter spp. in the evolution of chronic liver disease related to HCV and HBV in humans. (25, 33, 35) Nevertheless, the ability to grow Helicobacter spp. in the evolution of chronic liver disease related to H. pylori has been the most obvious example of this. In the 1980’s, Prusiner had already spoken about the existence of prions, HIV had struck a blow against scientific advances in health, disease prevention and vaccination since mankind had overcome smallpox.

CONCLUSION

It is still difficult to assert or discard a relationship of H. hepaticus with hepatocellular carcinoma. It is even risky since several paradigms have shown how compromising it can be to make such an assertion without experimental evidence. H. pylori has been the most obvious example of this. In the 1980’s, Prusiner had already spoken about the existence of prions, HIV had struck a blow against scientific advances in health, disease prevention and vaccination since mankind had overcome smallpox.

For this reason reports from Rocha et al., Dore et al. and Murakami et al. suggested an association of Helicobacter spp. in the evolution of chronic liver disease related to HCV and HBV in humans. (25, 33, 35) Nevertheless, the ability to grow Helicobacter spp. after isolation from liver has not yet been shown in any report which has generated enormous difficulty. Until now, trust has been based on PCR amplification which is a test that still leaves huge questions because it omits Koch propagation. Slowly, that trust has begun to be questioned since some microorganisms do not fully satisfy the principle of Koch. For example, the premise that the infectious agent must be isolated from the body in a pure culture isolated from the lesions caused by the disease is not true for Mycobacterium leprae. Contravention of the Koch postulate by this bacterium and others prevents classical conclusions and leaves the door open to new techniques such as PCR or deep sequencing using metagenomics becoming alternative diagnostic gold standards for elucidation of the human microbiome and virobiome. (12)
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